

Evaluation of physical work load in accordance with DGUV Information 208-033 (formerly BGI/GUV-I 7011) (Annex 3)

Annex 3 of DGUV Information 208-033 [1] (formerly BGI/GUV-I 7011) states a number of methods for the assessment of physical work load in accordance with Stage 3 – support by external specialists.

An example of such an assessment method is referred to below by way of the measured variables and principles of assessment of the CUELA¹ measurement method. This method employs a personal measurement system for the objective recording of physical work load (see also e.g. Ellegast et al. 2000; Hoehne-Hückstädt et al. 2007 [2, 3]).

Part 1 shows, in tabular form, the **angular ranges** of a number of body joints, classified in accordance with the traffic-light model (red/amber/green). The parameters, directions of movement, and literature upon which classification is based are stated in each case for the head, torso, and upper and lower extremities.

In consideration of the scale of physiological movement, the angle categories are classified as neutral/acceptable (green), medium/conditionally acceptable (amber) or terminal/unacceptable (red).

Important: parameters such as duration, frequency, dynamics of movements, statics of postures, or external circumstances (e.g. posture with the upper body or arm supported) are not considered in the classification of the angular ranges. These conditions (refer also to the secondary conditions stated in the standard) must also be considered during evaluation of the joint position or body posture under analysis.

Part 2 also shows the **moments and forces**, in addition to the angles. The CUELA measurement method includes evaluation strategies for:

- Moments and compressive forces of the intervertebral discs in the region of the lower (lumbar) spine
- Moments in the shoulder joint
- Hand forces

Part 3 contains special criteria for the recording and evaluation of manual working processes.

Overall, it must be considered that evaluation of all parameters stated delivers only individual criteria for the overall assessment of a task or working process.

¹ CUELA: computer-based measurement and long-term analysis of stresses upon the musculoskeletal system

1 Angle ranges of different joints of the body

a) Head and neck

Parameter	Direction of movement	Guideline v	alues for evaluation [°]
Inclination of the head	+: forwards (flexion)	green:	0 to 25
extension	-: backwards (extension)	yellow:	25 to 85
		red:	> 85
(O°)		rea:	< 0
) 25°/			
95°			with reference to
			ISO 11226 [4]
floxion			(without consideration of the secondary conditions stated
nexion			in the standard)
Lateral head inclination	+: to the right	green:	-10 to 10
to the to the	-: to the left	red:	< -10
right 10° -10° left		red:	> 10
+			
			with reference to
			EN 1005-4 [5]
			(without consideration of the
			in the standard
Neck torsion	+: to the right	green:	-45 to 45
	-: to the left	red:	< -45
		red:	> 45
-45° 45°			
			with reference to
1- + I			(without consideration of the
to the to the right			secondary conditions stated
Ronding of the nock	L: forwards (floxion)	aroon:	in the standard)
Bending of the neck	- hackwards (extension)	red:	> 25
extension		red:	< 0
0°			
25%			
flexion			
t and			with reference to
			(without consideration of the
/ ! \			secondary conditions stated
			in the standard)

b) Upper part of the body and trunk

Parameter	Direction of movement	Guidelin	e values for evaluation [°]
Inclination of the trunk	+: forwards (flexion)	green:	0 to 20
	-: backwards (extension)	yellow:	20 to 60
extension		red:	> 60
-		red:	< 0
0° 20° 60° + flevion			with reference to ISO 11226 [4] and EN 1005-4 [5] (without consideration of the
INEXION			secondary conditions stated in the standard
Lateral inclination of the	+: to the right	areen:	-10 to 10
trunk	-: to the left	vellow:	-10 to -20
		vellow:	10 to 20
		red:	< -20
$+20^{\circ}10^{\circ}0^{\circ}-10^{\circ}-20^{\circ}$		red:	> 20
to the right to the left			
			with reference to ISO 11226 [4] and supplemented by Drury 1987 [6]
			(without consideration of the secondary conditions stated in the standard)
Bending of the back	+: forwards (flexion)	green:	0 to 20
	-: backwards (extension)	yellow:	20 to 40
extension 0° 20° 40° + flexion		red: red:	> 40 < 0
({ / }			own assessment with reference to EN 1005-4 [5]
Back torsion	+: to the right	green:	-10 to 10
	-: to the left	yellow:	-20 to -10
-10° 10°		vellow:	10 to 20
-20° 20°		red:	< -20
to the left to the right		rea:	own assessment with reference to EN 1005-4 [5]

c) Shoulder/upper arms

Parameter	Direction of movement	Guidelin	e values for evaluation []
Shoulder joint	+: towards the body	green:	0 to -20
adduction/abduction of the upper	(adduction)	yellow:	-20 to -60
arm abduction	 away from the body (abduction) 	red: red:	< -60 > 0
-60° adduction			with reference to ISO 11226 [4] and EN 1005-4 [5]
-20 <u>0</u> ° +			secondary conditions stated in the standard)
Shoulder joint	+: forwards (flexion)	green:	0 to 20
flexion/extension of the upper	-: backwards (exten-	yellow:	20 to 60
arm	sion)	red:	< 0
flexion 🔨		red:	> 60
extension 0° 20° 60°			with reference to EN 1005-4 [5] (without consideration of the secondary conditions stated in the standard)
Shoulder joint	+: inwards (inward	green:	-15 to 30
		yellow:	-15 to -30
outward	- outwards (outward	red:	
rotation	Totation)	red:	> 60
inward rotation			own assessment with reference to Drury, 1987 [6]

d) Elbow, arm and hand



e) Lower extremities, knee joints



Besides this angle classification, the body postures are assessed in accordance with the **OWAS method** (Karhu 1977 [8]).

2 Forces and moments

Parameter	Guideline	values for evaluation
L5/S1 moments [Nm]	green:	0 to 40
3D lever arm from the centre of the hand to L5/S1	yellow:	40 to 80
	orange:	85 to 135
	red:	> 135
		with reference to Tichauer, 1978 [9]
L5/S1 compressive force [kN]		males
	green:	0.7 to 2.3
	yellow:	2.3 to 3.2
	red:	> 3.2
		females
	green:	0.7 to 1.8
	yellow:	1.8 to 2.5
	red:	> 2.5
		with reference to "Dortmunder"
		guideline values, Jäger et al., 2001 [10]
shoulder joint moments [Nm]	green:	0 to 40
sum for both shoulder moments during the handling of loads or	yellow:	40 to 80
manual exertion of force	orange:	80 to 120
	red:	> 120
		with reference to Tichauer, 1978 [9]
hand forces [N]		for evaluation, see: ISO 11228 Parts 1 and 2 [11, 12]

3 Recording and evaluating manual working processes

Parameters

- Static postures (> 4 s)
- Repetition
 - Frequency of the joint movement in one plane around the mid-value With reference to Kilbom 1994 [13]
 - Measurement and evaluation report, with reference to Hansson et al. (2004 and 2009) [14, 15]
 - o Median of the angular velocity for wrist flexion/extension ωwr [°/s]
 - \circ Median of the mean power frequency (MPF) for wrist flexion/extension MPF_{wr} [Hz]
 - Proportion of kinematic micro-pauses [%] $(\omega_{wr} < 1^{\circ}/s, t \ge 0.5 s, wrist)$
- · Force exerted by the hands/strain upon the forearm muscles
 - Measurement and evaluation report, with reference to Hansson et al. 2004 and 2009 [14, 15]
 - Proportion of muscular physiological micro-pauses [%] (%MVC < 0.5, t ≥ 0.5 s)
 - P10 of the %MVC values (forearm EMG, static component)
 - P90 of the %MVC values (forearm EMG, dynamic component)
 - Measurement and evaluation report, with reference to Silverstein et al. 1986 [16]
 - Adjusted forearm EMG = MW[%MVC] + Var[%MVC]/MW[%MVC]
 - Adjusted grip force = F_{max} × adjusted forearm EMG [N]

Literature

- [1] DGUV-Information 208-033 (bisher: BGI/GUV-I 7011): Belastungen für Rücken und Gelenke was geht mich das an? (09.13). Hrsg.: Deutsche Gesetzliche Unfallversicherung, Berlin 2013
- [2] Ellegast, R.P.; Kupfer, J.: Portable posture and motion measuring system for use in ergonomic field analysis. In: Landau (eds.): Ergonomic software tools in product and workplace design. Ergon, Stuttgart 2000, pp. 47-54
- [3] Hoehne-Hückstädt, U.; Herda, C.; Ellegast, R.P.; Hermanns, I.; Hamburger, R.; Ditchen, D.: Muskel-Skelett-Erkrankungen der oberen Extremität. BGIA-Report 2/2007. Eds.: Hauptverband der gewerblichen Berufsgenossenschaften, Sankt Augustin 2007
- [4] ISO 11226: Ergonomics Evaluation of static working postures (12.00). Beuth, Berlin 2000
- [5] EN 1005-4: Safety of machinery Human physical performance Part 4: Evaluation of working postures and movements in relation to machinery (01.09). Beuth, Berlin 2009
- [6] Drury, C.G.: A Biomechanical Evaluation of the Repetitive Motion Injury Potential of Industrial Jobs. Seminars in Occupational Medicine 2 (1987) No 1, pp. 41-47
- [7] McAtamney, L.; Corlett, E.N.: RULA: a survey method for the investigation of work-related upper limb disorders. Appl. Ergon. 23 (1993) No 2, pp. 91-99
- [8] Karhu, O.; Kansi, P.; Kuorinka, I.: Correcting working postures in industry: A practical method for analysis. Appl. Ergon. (1977) S. 199-201
- [9] Tichauer, E.R.: The Biomechanical Basis of Ergonomics Anatomy Applied to the Design of Work Situations. Wiley, New York 1978
- [10] Jäger, M.; Luttmann, A.; Göllner, R.: Belastbarkeit der Lendenwirbelsäule bei manueller Lastenhandhabung – Ableitung der "Dortmunder Richtwerte" auf Basis der lumbalen Kompressionsfestigkeit. Zbl. Arbeitsmed. 51 (2001) pp. 354-372
- [11] ISO 11228-1: Ergonomics Manual handling Part 1: Lifting and carrying (05.03). Beuth, Berlin 2003
- [12] ISO 11228-2:Ergonomics Manual handling Part 2: Pushing and pulling (04.07). Beuth, Berlin 2007
- [13] Kilbom, Å.: Repetitive work of the upper extremity: Part I Guidelines for the practitioner. Int. J. Indust. Ergon. 14 (1994) pp. 51-57
- [14] Hansson, G.; Balogh, I.; Ohlsson, K.; Skerfving, S.: Measurements of wrist and forearm positions and movements: effect of, and compensation for, goniometer crosstalk. J. Electromyogr. Kinesiol. 14 (2004) pp. 355-367
- [15] Hansson, G.; Balogh, I.; Ohlsson, K.; Granqvist, L.; Nordander, C.; Arvidsson, I.; Akesson,
 I.; Unge, J.; Rittner, R.; Strömberg, U.; Skerfving, S.: Physical workload in various types of
 work: Part I. Wrist and forearm. Int. J. Indust. Ergon. 39 (2009) pp. 221-223

[16] Silverstein, B.; Fine, L.; Armstrong, T.: Hand wrist cumulative trauma disorders in industry. Brit. J. Ind. Med. 43 (1986) pp. 779-784